



# Architecture Paradigms and Programming Languages for Efficient programming of multiple COREs

Specific Targeted Research Project (STReP)

THEME ICT-1-3.4

# Implementation of a first SaC to $\mu$ TC compiler

Deliverable D4.2, Issue 1.0

Workpackage WP4

Author(s):	Sven-Bodo Scholz, St	ephan Herhut, Carl Joslin	
Reviewer(s):	Chris Jesshope		
WP/Task No.:	WP4	Number of pages:	44
Issue date:	31.1.09	Dissemination level:	Public

**Purpose:** The purpose of this deliverable is to give an overview on the status of the implementation of a the auto-parallelising SAC to  $\mu$ TC compiler and to discuss the challenges encountered. **Results:** The main results of this deliverable are a first implementation of a SAC to  $\mu$ TC compiler, the documentation of the development process and a description of planned future extensions.

**Conclusion:** The main conclusions are as follows: We have devised a strategy to extend our research compiler by a new  $\mu$ TC back-end. This involves the design and implementation of a new optimisation technique, a lowering phase from SAC WITH-loops to  $\mu$ TC create operations and the extension of the memory model of our research compiler. Furthermore, we have designed and implemented a prototypical resource management solution. Lastly, we have identified a viable roadmap for further extensions to enhance the code generation and their implementation.

Approved by the project coordinator: Yes

Date of delivery to the EC: 28.5.09

# Document history

When	Who	Comments
14.1.09	Stephan Herhut	Initial version
17.1.09	Sven-Bodo Scholz	Minor modifications
19.1.09	Sven-Bodo Scholz	Added section on implementation status
20.1.09	Stephan Herhut	Added appendices and an outlook on future work



Project co-funded by the European Commission within the  $7^{th}$  Framework Programme (2007-11).

# Table of Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Structure of the Compiler         2.1       Original Compilation Process         2.2       Extended Compilation Process	1 2 3
3	WITH-loop Flattening	4
4	WITH-loop Slicing	<b>5</b>
5	Memory Management	8
6	Managing Resources	8
7	Implementation Status	9
8	Ongoing Work	9
A	opendices	10
Α	Obtaining and Installing sac2c A.1 Example: TVD Solver for 2D Shock-Tube Problem	<b>11</b> 11
в	sac2c Manual Page	<b>25</b>

# 1 Overview

The Apple-CORE project aims at developing many-core chip multi-processors, which we refer to as Microgrids, and a corresponding tool-chain consisting of an operating system layer and compilers for a low-level systems programming-language  $\mu$ TC [6], for the legacy language C with support for auto-parallelization and for the novel high-level data-parallel functional language SAC (Single Assignment C) [9]. We report in this document on the progress made on implementing a first compiler from SAC to the systems language of the Microgrid architecture  $\mu$ TC and discuss the challenges we have met.

In earlier publications [5, 4], we have analysed the Microgrid architecture in general and the  $\mu$ TC language in particular with respect to their suitability as a target for the SAC language. Our findings show that the Microgrid architecture with its support for fine-grained concurrency is an ideal match for the data-parallel programming paradigm of SAC. Furthermore, we have learned that  $\mu$ TC is a viable target language for SAC. However, to compile SAC to  $\mu$ TC, still a significant semantic gap needs to be bridged: Whereas the main data-parallel construct of SAC, *i.e.*, the WITH-loop, fully supports *n*-dimensional data-structures and data-parallel operations thereon, the corresponding operation of the systems language  $\mu$ TC, *i.e.*, the **create** construct for concurrent loops, is limited to one dimensional data-parallel operations.

To bridge this semantic gap, we have identified two solutions:

- **Flattening** WITH-loops: Instead of performing the data-parallel operation on the high-level notion of an *n*-dimensional array, we map the element-wise operation directly onto the 1-dimensional data-vector, referred to as *ravel* in the following. This allows us to express an *n*-dimensional operation directly as a 1-dimensional **create**. However, if the computation of the single elements of the result requires the value of the abstract, *n*-dimensional index position, flattening the WITH-loop is not viable: The computations required to derive the *n*-dimensional index into the abstract array from the 1-dimensional offset into the concrete ravel would severely degrade if not offset the gains from the concurrent execution. For these cases, we have developed an alternative approach.
- Nested create Operations: In case the abstract, *n*-dimensional index of a WITH-loop is required to compute the result of the WITH-loop, we map the WITH-loop to a nesting of create operations. As we have detailed in [5], for each dimension, we slice the result into a set of subarrays that is then computed concurrently using the create construct. As each dimension is represented by its own create operation, computing the *n*-dimensional index is comparatively cheap in this scenario: It suffices to concatenate the indices of the create operations. However, from an implementation perspective, this approach requires more effort. Support for slicing a result into partial results which are then computed independently had to be added to the compiler.

Before detailing these required extensions to our research compiler sac2c, we first give a coarse overview of the compiler's structure and the existing optimisations and identify where and how the above extensions are best introduced. Next, we describe the implementation of the WITH-loop flattening phase and our work on adding support for slicing of WITH-loops. Section 5 describes the required extensions to the memory subsystem of sac2c. A general discussion of resource management for the create instruction is given in Section 6. Finally, we summarise the status of the implementation and give an outlook on future work.

# 2 Structure of the Compiler

Our current research compiler sac2c has been developed over the course of more than 10 years. Before the start of the Apple-CORE project, the compiler supported C and C with POSIX threads as target languages. For the former, we are able to produce highly competitive sequential C code



Figure 1: Overview of the main compilation stages during the translation of SAC programs to C using the sac2c compiler.

from high-level SAC specifications. This is achieved by applying more than 50 distinct optimisations during more than 200 compiler phases. By means of proprietary auto-paralellization techniques for the main data-parallel construct of SAC, the WITH-loop, we are furthermore able to produce efficient code for symmetric multi-processors using POSIX threads.

### 2.1 Original Compilation Process

Figure 1 gives an abstract overview of the compilation process. Due to the complexity of the compilation process and the number of optimisations involved, we can only give a very course overview here. We have only listed the most important steps in compilation, in particular those that are of importance for the implementation of the  $\mu$ TC support described in this report. A detailed description of all phases and the different intermediate languages used during compilation would be beyond the scope of this report.

As can be seen, the compilation process can be split into five stages. The first stage, the *front-end*, performs basic pre-processing steps to transform a SAC program into an equivalent de-sugared program in the language core of SAC. Furthermore, the program is annotated with type information. This information is used, apart for checking program correctness, at later stages to optimize the code.

The next stage is the *optimisation* stage. During this stage, all high-level optimisations are performed. High-level in this context refers to optimisations that can be performed on the SAC level, *i.e.*, those optimisations that can be implemented as source-to-source transformations. The most noteworthy optimisations in this context are WITH-LOOP FOLDING [8] and WITH-LOOP FUSION [2], which enhance the granularity of data-parallel operations by merging adjacent WITH-loops. A further optimisation that is performed during this stage is INDEX-VECTOR ELIMINATION [1], which translates, where possible, expressions that contain a reference to the index vector of a WITH-loop into equivalent expressions that use the offset into the ravel of the result instead.

During the third stage of the compiler, the *first lowering*, the high-level WITH-loop representation is lowered into a normalised form which, where possible, computes the result in canonical order. Introducing an explicit ordering of computation to the conceptually data-parallel WITH-loop allows us to perform enhanced optimisations such as cache blocking. However, the WITH-loop after this stage still remains *n*-dimensional.

The penultimate stage of the compilation process, the *second lowering*, introduces the notion of memory. Until this stage, SAC programs only use the notion of values and storage into memory is implicit. During this stage, the program is transformed in multiple steps into a program with



Figure 2: Overview of the extended compilation stages during the translation of SAC programs to C using the sac2c compiler.

explicit memory allocation and reference counting instructions. This stage is the first stage that is dependent on the compilation target. The memory allocation strategy differs for sequential and concurrent execution using the C and C with POSIX threads back-ends.

Finally, the last phase of compilation is the *back-end*. Depending on the target of compilation, a different back-end is used. Although both back-ends share a common infrastructure, the code generation, in particular for WITH-loops, is different.

### 2.2 Extended Compilation Process

To support  $\mu TC$  as a new target-language, three main extensions were required:

- 1. translation of *n*-dimensional WITH-loops into 1-dimensional create operations, where possible,
- 2. translation of *n*-dimensional WITH-loops into nested create operations, and
- 3. general support for producing  $\mu TC$  code in the back-end.

A key observation that allowed us to reduce the implementation effort is that the first extension above can be reduced to a special case of the second extension by mapping *n*-dimensional WITH-loops to 1-dimensional WITH-loops during the high-level optimisation stage. A 1-dimensional WITH-loop then automatically triggers the production of a non-nested **create** operation during the general translation of WITH-loops to **create** operations as outlined in [5]. This observation lead to the implementation of a new optimisation phase WITH-LOOP FLATTENING during the second stage of compilation. Figure 2 gives an overview of the extended compilation process. The new WITH-LOOP FLATTENING phase is performed directly after INDEX-VECTOR ELIMINATION. The latter, as it turns out, enables the flattening of WITH-loops even for some cases where the index vector is referenced in the WITH-loop body.

The second extension, the transformation of n-dimensional WITH-loops into nested **create** operations, is performed in two steps. We first transform the n-dimensional WITH-loop into a nesting of a new, one-dimensional WITH-loop representation. In a second step, this still relatively high-level representation is then lowered to the final nesting of **create** operations.

This two-step lowering is motivated by the requirement to lower the WITH-loop to its onedimensional form before memory management. To introduce the notion of memory, we need to know how the computation will be sliced into sub-computations along the dimensions and what memory will be shared between threads and which memory is thread local. However, performing memory management on the loosely coupled **create** representation would inhibit many optimisations that make use of special properties of the WITH-loop.

The resulting compilation process can be seen in Figure 2. The third compilation stage, the first lowering, has been extended by a new WITH-LOOP SPLITTING phase, which transforms *n*-dimensional WITH-loops into a nesting of a new one-dimensional WITH-loop construct. Furthermore, the second lowering stage has been extended to support memory management for this new one-dimensional WITH-loop. Once the memory management is complete, we then lower the representation further towards  $\mu$ TC by introducing the notion of threads to the intermediate representation. Nested one-dimensional WITH-loops are transformed into a nesting of threads during the THREAD LIFTING phase. Finally, the phase THREAD DISTRIBUTION performs some resource management.

The last required extension is to add support for emitting  $\mu$ TC code to the back-end. To keep the implementation effort for the new back-end for the  $\mu$ TC target language manageable, we have chosen to extend the existing C back-end. This decision was motivated by the fact that  $\mu$ TC is a superset of C and therefore most of the code-generation is expected to be similar. Only for dataparallel operations, *i.e.*, the WITH-loop construct of SAC, the code generation needs to be adapted to make use of the specific extensions of  $\mu$ TC for concurrent execution.

# 3 WITH-loop Flattening

As a first step, we have implemented the new WITH-LOOP FLATTENING optimisation. In general, WITH-LOOP FLATTENING is a source-to-source transformation on WITH-loops. A *n*-dimensional WITH-loop can be transformed into a semantically equivalent one-dimensional WITH-loop if it fulfils the following conditions:

- 1. the WITH-loop index is not referenced within the body of the WITH-loop, and
- 2. the WITH-loop comprises only a single full partition,

With *full* partition, we refer to a partition that computes the entire iteration space of the whole WITH-loop. For instance, for a **genarray** WITH-loop that computes a  $4 \times 4$  matrix, a partition would be considered a full partition if it iterates all elements in the iteration space [(0,0), (4,4)).

The first condition can easily be checked by inspecting the set of free variables of the WITH-loop body. However, the second condition is more difficult to decide in general. It is, of course, straightforward to decide whether a WITH-loop comprises only a single partition. Whether such partition is a full partition is not decidable in general.

As we have no means to detect full partitions in general, we limit the applicability of WITH-LOOP FLATTENING in our current implementation to a subset of the theoretically transformable WITH-loops for which we can decide the second property above. As an approximation for whether a partition is a full partition, we use the following condition: For modarray and genarray WITH-loops, we flag a partition as full if

- the lower bound is the constant vector of zeros,
- the upper bound equates to the shape of the result, and
- the step and width parameters are the constant vector of ones.

A full description of the transformation scheme for WITH-LOOP FLATTENING would be beyond the scope of this report. However, to give an idea we provide a simple example:

The above genarray WITH-loop has only a single partition which fulfils our criterion for full partitions as described above. Given that the body of the WITH-loop *expr* does not contain references to the index variable iv, the above code can be transformed into the following semantically equivalent WITH-loop:

As can be seen above, the new WITH-loop defined in Line 2 now is one-dimensional (note the oneelement index [i]). It iterates over the full ravel of the result. The length of this ravel is computed in Line 1 as the product of all elements of the shape vector **shape** of the array to be computed. However, the above WITH-loop now computes a one-dimensional vector of length  $\mathbf{r}$  instead of a two-dimensional array. This is remedied in Line 5 by modifying the shape of the result of the new WITH-loop to the shape of the result as specified for the old WITH-loop. Note that this operation does not incur any significant runtime cost as it in the worst case updates a descriptor and in no case needs to modify the data as such.

At first glance it may seem that the optimisation as described above only applies to a very small set of WITH-loops in practice. However, due to existing optimisations, in particular the INDEX-VECTOR ELIMINATION, WITH-loops like the one above are rather common in real-world programs. As an example, all basic map operations on arrays, *e.g.*, element-wise addition and multiplication, fall in the above category.

Although we have designed and implemented this optimisation specifically to support  $\mu$ TC as a compilation target, the flattening of *n*-dimensional WITH-loops has proven beneficial in general. By reducing the dimensionality of the iteration space of a WITH-loop, we are able to reduce the level of loop-nestings required to compute the result, as well. The resulting reduced overhead manifests in increased runtime performance.

We hope to publish a formal description of the WITH-LOOP FLATTENING transformation and quantitative results on the resulting runtime improvements (via  $\mu$ TC as well as via standard C) as soon as our toolchain is completed.

## 4 WITH-loop Slicing

The second extension we have implemented is the WITH-LOOP SLICING transformation performed during the first-lowering stage. In this phase the normalized, *n*-dimensional WITH-loop encoding used in the intermediate representation after the WITH-LOOP NORMALISATION phase is transformed into a new one-dimensional WITH-loop representation. This new WITH-loop representation was designed explicitly for a later mapping to the **create** construct of  $\mu$ TC. Apart from being onedimensional only, the new representation differs in the following key aspects from the original *n*-dimensional version:

- 1. the WITH-loop index is no longer part of the WITH-loop but it is computed explicitly, and
- 2. the *n*-element step and width parameters are replaced by a single scalar step parameter.

A translation from the *n*-dimensional WITH-loop into the new one-dimensional WITH-loop needs to account for these differences.

The first difference, the explicit encoding of index computations, might seem like an arbitrary choice. However, it is a key requirement to be able to transform arbitrary *n*-dimensional WITH-loops into nestings of one-dimensional WITH-loops. To motivate this requirement, consider the following example:



Figure 3: Graphical representation of the decomposition of an n-dimensional WITH-loop iteration space into one-dimensional loops and the corresponding index computations.

The above code copies a  $4 \times 3 \times 4$  array B element-wise to a new array A. A schematic decomposition into one-dimensional loops is shown in Figure 3. At each level, the iteration space or sub-result computed by the current one-dimensional loop is shown. On the outermost level, the corresponding loop computes the entire result by slicing the result into 4 sub-results along the first dimension (depicted here as the z-axis). These sub-results are then computed by four loops on the first nesting level. Again, each loop slices the iteration space to be computed into sub-spaces, this time along the second dimension (depicted as the y-axis). For space reasons, Figure 3 shows the result of this slicing for the left-most loop only. As can be seen, the slicing yields three 4-element vectors as new sub-results to be computed on the second nesting level. Lastly, these are sliced into four scalar cells which can then be computed by single threads.

To compute the value of a scalar cell in the above example, two values are required for each thread at the leaves of the decomposition tree: The offset into the ravel of the result where the value needs to be written to and the 3-element index of the original WITH-loop to perform the selection into the source array B. However, the loop at each level considered in isolation only encodes the offset into the outer-most dimension of the current sub-result. To make the offset and index available to the threads, these need to be computed explicitly.

To reduce the computational complexity of offset and index computations, we use an encoding the pre-computes a partial offset and index at each level. For the simple case of a single partition with a step and width of 1 and scalar values at the inner-most nesting-level, the resulting computations for each level are shown in the dotted boxes in Figure 3.

In case of the WITH-loop index, we simply combine the indices of the nested one-dimensional loops to a vector. Note here that for more complex grid patterns, a single loop may not represent an entire dimension. In this case, computing the WITH-loop index is more complex: All indices of the one-dimensional loops that correspond to a single dimension need to be added.

For the offset into the ravel, we compute at each level the offset of the first element of the current sub-result by adding the current offset from the top-left corner of the sub-result of the previous level to the offset into the ravel computed at the previous level. This offset into the sub-result of the previous level is computed by multiplying the index of the **create** operation at the current level by the size of the sub-result one level below. The challenge here was to find an encoding that allows us to compute this size in the general case, *i.e.*, when the shape of the element is not known statically.



Figure 4: Graphical representation of the decomposition of a two-dimensional WITH-loop with width parameters into subcomponents that use only the step parameter.

This transformation only caters for the first difference between the *n*-dimensional WITH-loop and the one-dimensional encoding used to model **create** operations. However, we furthermore need to handle the second difference, *i.e.*, we need to translate WITH-loops that make use of the width parameter into semantically equivalent WITH-loops with a trivial width of one. As an example for a WITH-loop using both the width and **step** parameters, consider the following WITH-loop:

```
1 A = with {
    ([0,0] <= iv < [3,4] step [2,4] width [1,3]) : expr1;
3    ([0,3] <= iv < [3,4] step [2,4]) : expr2;
    ([1,0] <= iv < [3,4] step [2,1]) : expr3;
5  } : genarray( [3,4], 0);</pre>
```

The first partition above makes use of a width parameter and therefore cannot be directly expressed as a  $\mu$ TC create operation. Instead, we first have to translate the above WITH-loop into a representation that only makes use of the step parameter. To achieve this, we first identify each unique component of the pattern described by the step and width parameters. Then, we express each non-scalar component by a create operation of its own. The resulting new pattern then no longer requires a width parameter.

To demonstrate this technique, we have depicted the pattern resulting from the above WITHloop in the top third of Figure 4. The first partition computes the 3 element blocks starting at the top-left corner and repeating every 2 rows and 4 columns. The second partition fills the missing fourth element in the pattern of the first partition. This single element is repeated every 2 rows and 4 columns, as well. However, it starts with an offset of 3 columns. Finally, the last partition computes every second row starting with row two. As it computes the entire row, it has a stepping of 1 along the y-axis.

To resolve the width parameter and compute the step and offset of the repeating elements of the computed array, we decompose the pattern along each dimension into its components. For the above two-dimensional example, we thus need two decomposition steps. However, the approach scales to arbitrary numbers of dimensions as required by the WITH-loop in its most general form.

The result of the first decomposition is presented in the middle section of Figure 4. As can be seen, the pattern shown in the top section consists of two row-patterns. The first, shown on the left, computes every second row beginning with the first row. This is represent by the offset:step pair 0:2 in Figure 4. All other rows, *i.e.*, every second row starting with row two, are computed by the pattern given on the right side of Figure 4. The corresponding offset:step annotation is 1:2.

Next, we decompose these row patterns along the remaining dimension. This yields the final three components of the pattern as shown in the bottom third of Figure 4. The first row-pattern is split into two components. The first component repeats every four elements and starts with the first element in each row. We have annotated this using the offset:step pair 0:4. For the second component, which computes the remaining elements for this row-pattern, we similarly get a offset:step pair of 3:4, *i.e.*, the pattern repeats every four elements and starts at the third element of the row.

The second row-pattern does not need to be split any further as it consists of a single component. Thus, we get an offset:step annotation for the second row-pattern in this dimension of 0:1.

Using this decomposition into components, we can now apply the slicing technique described earlier for the simpler WITH-loop. However, instead of slicing the WITH-loop until we reach the computation of the inner-most elements, we now slice up to component level instead.

A full description of all transformations required to decompose the iteration spaces of WITHloops in general into their components would be beyond the scope of this report. We refer the interested reader to our earlier publications on this technique in the context of WITH-LOOP NOR-MALISATION [3].

### 5 Memory Management

Once all n-dimensional WITH-loops have been transformed into nestings of one-dimensional WITH-loops, the next stage of the compilation process, the second-lowering stage, introduces explicit allocation and reference counting instructions.

To support the new one-dimensional WITH-loop, we had to extend the abstract memory model that underlies the memory management subsystem of the sac2c compiler in two aspects:

- 1. The notion of sub-result had to be introduced, and
- 2. support for allocating memory in a different context than it is used in had to be added.

The first amendment results from the slicing of *n*-dimensional WITH-loops into nestings of onedimensional WITH-loops. Instead of one language construct to compute the result in a single step, this transformation produces multiple WITH-loops that each compute only a part of a single result array. This is nicely visualized for our example by Figure 3. On the inner-most level, only a single element of the array is computed and thus only the memory for that cell is required. One level further up, these single elements are then combined to an entire row. On level 1, these rows are then combined to two-dimensional results before, finally, these are concatenated to the result.

The change to the computation of arrays introduced by WITH-loop slicing invalidates an assumption that was previously built-into the memory subsystem of sac2c. Before we started implementing the  $\mu$ TC back-end, the memory subsystem conceptually always allocated memory for the entire result of an expression, *e.g.*, for the result of a whole WITH-loop. However, with the partial computation of results, now the memory for a previously single result might be allocated at multiple sites and only partially. Unfortunately, the existing model to describe the shape and dimensionality of allocated objects was not expressive enough to capture these changes. We have extended the memory model and its intermediate representation accordingly.

Secondly, in the existing memory model of the sac2c compiler, all memory was allocated in the same context in which it was initialised. With the introduction of threads, this assumption no longer holds. Consider again the result of the slicing in Figure 3. At the lowest level, each thread fills one cell of the 4-element vector allocated at the level above, which itself is a thread again. Thus, the memory is allocated in a different context than where it is first used. We have extended the memory model accordingly and taken first steps to allow for more explicit memory distribution between threads in the future.

### 6 Managing Resources

Our experiments on the impact of thread distribution on runtime performance published in [5] have shown that the implementation of the Microgrid architecture in the MGSim emulator is vulnerable to resource deadlocks if a too naïve thread distribution scheme is used.

We have identified two common reasons for resource deadlocks:

- 1. flooding the thread table with threads on intermediate levels of the concurrency tree and thus inhibiting the creation of the actual worker threads at the leaves, and
- 2. exhausting the maximum number of families due to a too deep nesting of create statements.

To prevent the first kind of resource deadlock, we have implemented an initial prototype of a throttling mechanism to ensure that sufficient threads remain at the leaves of the concurrency tree. We employ a program-global analysis that infers the maximum nesting level of WITH-loops in all reachable execution paths of a program. From this we then derive the maximum nesting level of **create** operations at runtime. Furthermore, for each WITH-loop level after slicing, the number of partitions is counted. This information is used to compute the width of the concurrency tree.

From this coarse model of the program-global concurrency tree, a distribution of the available maximum number of threads to one-dimensional WITH-loops is computed and annotated in the intermediate representation. These annotations are then used in the back-end to emit corresponding resource limits for the **create** statements.

Currently this distribution is static and the maximum number of threads available has to be passed to the sac2c compiler using the maxthreads compile time option. However, to achieve portability of binaries between different Microgrid implementations, it would be desirable to configure this parameter at runtime. Currently, different approaches, ranging from a runtime parameter for the executables to a special system-call to retrieve the parameters of the platform, are discussed.

For the second kind of resource deadlock we have not implemented a solution yet, as it is not clear whether this kind of resource deadlock should be handled by the systems language  $\mu$ TC instead. A possible solution at the  $\mu$ TC level would be to revert to a sequential execution of **create** statements once no more families can be created. Alternatively, the computation could be diverted to a different place that still has families available. However, should family induced resource deadlocks not be handled by the  $\mu$ TC language, one approach to prevent these at the SAC level would be to emit sequential code instead of **create** statements after a certain nesting depth of WITH-loop slices.

The implementation of resource management is still in its very early stages as we so far are not able to experiment with the simulation platform as a corresponding  $\mu$ TC compiler is not available. Furthermore, a simulation using the utc-ptl [10] libraries is not possible in this case, as the utc-ptl implementation has different resource constraints and in particular is not vulnerable to deadlocks.

# 7 Implementation Status

We have implemented all the required extensions as described in the previous sections. A precompiled binary distribution for multiple architectures is available from the Apple-CORE website at http://www.apple-core.info/resources/. We have tested this version of the compiler with the utc-ptl software implementation of the SVP model. We have used a slightly patched version of the third release of utc-ptl. The required patch, alongside a helper script, is available from the Apple-CORE website, as well. A detailed description of how to install and use sac2c for use with utc-ptl can be found in Appendix A.

# 8 Ongoing Work

The current implementation of the SAC to  $\mu$ TC compiler is only an initial prototype. First experiments and analyses of the generated code have already revealed a range of potential optimisations.

Firstly, the decomposition of WITH-loops into one-dimensional WITH-loops may lead to suboptimal nestings of **create** operations. In particular, our current implementation often generates thread families with very few threads. We expect that using a sequential implementation in these case to save on resources for further family creations might be advantageous. However, we have decided to postpone further research into this direction until we can perform a more exhaustive study on the Microgrid emulator.

A second optimisation is the extension of the WITH-LOOP FLATTENING optimisation to a wider range of partition and generator combinations. This, however, requires more sophisticated array-access analyses. We hope to be able to extend sac2c accordingly in the near future.

The code generation for fold WITH-loops offers a further potential for optimisation. Our current compilation scheme, as detailed in [5], is based on a sequential synchronisation. For sufficiently complex fold operations, it might be advantageous to use a different synchronisation scheme instead. Again, we would like to empirically study the current implementation on the Microgrid emulator first before trying a different synchronisation strategy.

As already mentioned in Section 6, the current resource analysis and management is based on rather simple heuristics. We expect that a more sophisticated analysis would allow us to improve on this. Furthermore, an extension of  $\mu$ TC with more explicit resource managing mechanisms might be of help in this respect, as well.

We will further exploit all the above optimisation potentials as soon as we are able to use the emulation platform to obtain realistic runtime estimates. In the meantime, we concentrate on those optimisations of which we already know that they in general improve runtimes, e.g., an extended version of the WITH-LOOP FLATTENING optimisation.

## APPENDIX A - Obtaining and Installing sac2c

We have made a special version of the sac2c distribution that contains a binary of the sac2c compiler with added support for the  $\mu$ TC back-end available online. Periodicly updated archives for a range of platforms can be downloaded from the resources section of the Apple-CORE website at http://www.apple-core.info/resources/.

To compile SAC programs using  $\mu$ TC as back-end language, furthermore the utc-ptl Microgrid implementation (release 3) is required. utc-ptl can be obtained from its maintainer Michiel van Tol (mwvantol@science.uva.nl).

As utc-ptl is based on C++ and as it uses the standard library of C++, as well, it cannot be directly used to compile  $\mu$ TC programs that make use of the C standard-library. In particular, the implementation of the function malloc for allocating memory on the heap differs in utc-ptl from the corresponding function in the C standard-library. To circumvent these incompatibilities, we have implemented an adapter script mutcc (short for  $\mu$ TC compiler) that rewrites the  $\mu$ TC produced by sac2c such that it is compatible with utc-ptl.

As a further functionality, our adapter script emulates a standard C-compiler command-line interface. This allows us to use the utc-ptl  $\mu$ TC to C++ translator as a direct replacement for any standard C compiler. The adapter script is available in the resources section of the Apple-CORE website at http://www.apple-core.info/resources/, as well.

We have slightly modified the stock utc-ptl distribution to allow for a better integration with the mutcc helper script. An according patch is available online at the same location as the mutcc script itself.

To make sac2c aware of the mutcc script, it suffices to place the script into a directory where it can be found by the system shell, *i.e.*, in a directory listed in the PATH environment variable on UNIX systems, and to set the environment variable UTCPTLHOME to the directory where the utc-ptl distribution is located. Lastly, the sac2c configuration file .sac2crc in the user's home directory needs to be amended by the following two lines:

```
target utcptl:
CC := "mutcc"
```

1

2

Note that the file might not yet exist or might be empty.

Once the mutcc adapter script has been set up as described above, the examples that come with the sac2c compiler (and of course any other valid SAC program) may be compiled to  $\mu$ TC using the following command line

sac2c -B mutc -target utcptl -O3 example.sac -o example

where example.sac is the name of the source file to compile and example is the name of the resulting binary executable. The first argument -B mutc chooses the  $\mu$ TC back-end over the default C99 back-end. By adding the -target utcptl flag, we instruct the sac2c compiler to use the mutcc script as back-end compiler. A full description of the sac2c compiler options is given in Appendix B.

### A.1 Example: TVD Solver for 2D Shock-Tube Problem

We have successfully compiled the TVD solver example [7] using the  $\mu$ TC back-end and were able to show that a sufficient amount of concurrency is produced to utilize the latency hiding and concurrency features of the Microgrid architecture. However, as the toolchain for the Microgrid emulator MGSim is not yet available, we were unable to quantify what impact this has on the actual runtime compared to a sequential version.

The TVD solver implementation that we have used for our experiments is part of the demo suite that comes with the **sac2c** compiler distribution. To give an idea of its nature, we reproduce the source code here, as well.

```
* TVD solver for 2D shock-tube problem
4
   * Alexey Kudriavtsev, 2008
6
   8
  import StdIO: all;
10 import Array: all;
  import ArrayIO: all;
12 import Math: all;
  import File: all;
14
  #define NSAVE 10
16
  #ifndef OUTFILE_TECPLOT
18 #define OUTFILE_TECPLOT "outputs/Tecplot2d.dat"
  #endif
20
  #ifndef OUTFILE_GRID
22 #define OUTFILE_GRID "outputs/grid2d.dat"
  #endif
24
  #ifndef OUTFILE_FLOW
26 #define OUTFILE_FLOW "outputs/flow2d.dat"
  #endif
28
  *
30
   * problem-specific constants:
  */
32
34 #ifndef NX
                       /* Number of cells along X */
  #ifdef CAJ
36 #define NX 2000
  #define NX4 2004
38 #else
  #define NX 400
40 #define NX4 404
  #endif
42 #endif
44 #ifndef NY
                       /* Number of cells along Y */
  #ifdef CAJ
46 #define NY 2000
  #define NY4 2004
48 #else
  #define NY 400
50 #define NY4 404
  #endif
52 #endif
54 #ifndef XL
                          /* Size of domain along X */
  #define XL 2d
56 #endif
58 #ifndef YL
                          /* Size of domain along Y */
  #define YL 2d
60 #endif
62 #ifndef GAM
                           /* Ratio of specific heats */
  #define GAM 1.4d
64 #endif
66 #ifndef NJET
                           /* Number of points across nozzle exit */
```

#define NJET 200

```
#endif
68
   70
    * algorithm configuration:
72
   */
74
   #ifndef IADV
                         /* time integration method */
  #define IADV 3
76
   #endif
78
   #ifndef IMUSCL
                         /* MUSCL reconstruction method */
80
  #define IMUSCL 1
   #endif
82
   #ifndef IAXIS
                          /* Switch of plane/axisymmetric flow */
  #define IAXIS 0
84
   #endif
86
   88
    * derived constants:
90
   */
92
   #define DX (XL/tod(NX)) /* Spatial increment along X */
  #define DY (YL/tod(NY)) /* Spatial increment along Y */
94
   96
    * fixed constants:
98
    */
100
   #define CFL 0.95d
                        /* Courant-Friedrichs-Levy number */
   #define MS 2.2d
                         /* Shock wave Mach number */
102
104
   /*
   * Maximum extension of 1D arrays
106
   */
  #ifndef nmax
108
   #define nmax 400
  #define nmax4 404
110
   #endif
112
   /*
   * Energy as function of primitive variables
114
    */
  inline double energ (double r, double p,
116
                     double ux, double uy)
  ſ
118
      return(p/(GAM-1d)+0.5d*r*(ux*ux+uy*uy));
  }
120
  /*
122
      Pressure as function of conservative variables
   */
124
   inline double press (double mx, double my,
126
                    double e, double r)
   {
      return((GAM-1d)*(e-0.5d*(mx*mx+my*my)/r));
128
   }
130
   /*
  * MIN_MOD limiter
132
```

```
*/
   inline double MIN_MOD (double a, double b)
134
    {
        if (a*b < 0d)
136
          c = 0d;
138
        else{
          if (fabs(a) < fabs(b))</pre>
140
            c = a;
          else
            c = b;}
142
        return (c);
144
    }
146
    /*
148
     *
        Primitive variables from conservative ones
     */
    specialize double[+] poststep (double[NX,NY,7] q);
150
    inline
    double[+] poststep (double[+] q)
152
    ſ
        q = with \{ ([0,0,4] \le iv \le [NX-1,NY-1,4]) \}
154
                : press(q[iv-[0,0,4]],q[iv-[0,0,3]],
                  q[iv-[0,0,2]],q[iv-[0,0,1]]);
156
                     ([0,0,5] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,5])
                : q[iv-[0,0,5]]/q[iv-[0,0,2]];
158
                     ([0,0,6] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,6])
160
                : q[iv-[0,0,5]]/q[iv-[0,0,3]];}
                : modarray(q);
162
        return(q);
   }
164
    /*
166
     *
        Cell-centered grid
     */
168
    double[NX], double[NY] init_grid ()
170
    {
        x = with \{ ([0] \le [ix] \le [NX-1]) \}
               : DX*(tod(ix)+0.5d);}
172
               : genarray([NX], Od);
174
        y = with { ([0] <= [iy] <= [NY-1])
               : DY*(tod(iy)+0.5d);}
176
               : genarray([NY], Od);
178
        return (x,y);
180
   }
   inline
182
    void save_step(double[+] x, double [+] y, double[+] q)
    {
184
      save_grid( x,y);
      save_flow( q);
186
    }
188
    /*
190
     *
        Saves grid to file
     */
192
    inline
    void save_grid (double[NX] x, double[NY] y)
   {
194
        File ff;
196
        iv,ff = fopen ( OUTFILE_GRID, "w");
```

```
198
        for (ix=0; ix <= NX-1; ix++)</pre>
          fprintf(ff, "%lf \n", x[ix]);
200
        for (iy=0; iy <= NY-1; iy++)</pre>
202
          fprintf(ff, "%lf \n", y[iy]);
204
        fclose (ff);
   }
206
    /*
208
     *
        Initial flowfield
210
     */
    inline
212
    double[NX,NY,7] init_flow ()
    {
        u0 = 0d;
214
        v0 = 0d;
        p0 = 1d;
216
        rO = GAM;
        e0 = energ(r0,p0,u0,v0);
218
        ru0 = r0 * u0;
        rv0 = r0 * v0;
220
        q = genarray([NX,NY], [ru0,rv0,e0,r0,p0,u0,v0]);
222
        return (q);
224
    }
226
        Saves flowfield to file
228
     *
     */
    inline
230
    void save_flow (double[+] q)
232
    {
        File ff;
234
        iv,ff = fopen ( OUTFILE_FLOW, "w");
236
        for (ix=0; ix <= NX-1; ix++){</pre>
        for (iy=0; iy <= NY-1; iy++){</pre>
238
          fprintf(ff, "1.19lf\n/1.19lf\n/1.19lf\n/1.19lf\n/n",
          q[ix,iy,0], q[ix,iy,1], q[ix,iy,2], q[ix,iy,3]);
240
        }
        }
242
        fclose (ff);
244
   }
246
    /*
        Calls different subroutines for
     *
     *
        reconstructing cell-face values
248
     *
        from cell-centered ones
     */
250
    inline
    double[nmax4,4], double[nmax4,4] muscl (double[nmax4,7] qc, double sx,
252
                                                 double sy, int n1, int n2)
254
    {
        qpl = genarray([nmax+4], [0d,0d,0d,0d]);
        qpr = genarray([nmax+4], [0d,0d,0d,0d]);
256
        if (IMUSCL == 1)
258
          qpl,qpr = muscl1 (qc, n1, n2);
        else if (IMUSCL == 2)
260
          qpl,qpr = pmuscl2 (qc, n1, n2);
        else if (IMUSCL == -2)
262
```

16

```
qpl,qpr = xmuscl2 (qc, sx, sy, n1, n2);
        else if (IMUSCL == 3)
264
          qpl,qpr = weno3 (qc, sx, sy, n1, n2);
        else
266
          printf (" Wrong value of IMUSCL! \n");
268
        return (qpl,qpr);
   }
270
    /*
272
        Calculates cell-face values using
     *
     *
        1st order piecewise constant
274
     *
        reconstruction
276
     */
    specialize double[+], double[+] muscl1 (double[NX,NY,7] qc, int n1, int n2);
278
    inline
    double[+], double[+] muscl1 (double[+] qc, int n1, int n2)
280
    {
        qpl = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
        qpr = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
282
        qpl = with { ([n1,0] <= iv <= [n2,3])</pre>
284
                 : qc[iv+[0,3]];}
                 : modarray(qpl);
286
        qpr = with{ ([n1,0] <= iv <= [n2,3])</pre>
                 : qc[iv+[0,3]];}
288
                 : modarray(qpr);
290
        return (qpl,qpr);
   }
292
    /*
294
        Calculates cell-face values using
        2nd order MUSCL reconstruction of
     *
296
        primitive variables
     */
298
    specialize double[+], double[+] pmuscl2 (double[NX,NY,7] qc, int n1, int n2);
   inline
300
    double[+], double[+] pmuscl2 (double[+] qc, int n1, int n2)
302
    ł
        qpl = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
        qpr = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
304
        dq = genarray([nmax+3,4], 0d);
306
        dq = with{ ([n1-1,0] <= iv <= [n2,3])
308
                 : qc[iv+[1,3]]-qc[iv+[0,3]];}
                 : modarray(dq);
310
        for (i=n1; i <= n2; i++){</pre>
312
           dql = [dq[i-1,0], dq[i-1,1], dq[i-1,2], dq[i-1,3]];
314
           dqr = [dq[i,0],dq[i,1],dq[i,2],dq[i,3]];
316
           for (L=0; L <=3; L++){
318
              gq = MIN_MOD(dql[L],dqr[L]);
320
              qpl[i,L] = qc[i,L+3]-0.5d*gq;
              qpr[i,L] = qc[i,L+3]+0.5d*gq;
322
           7
           }
324
        return (qpl,qpr);
326
   }
```

```
328
    /*
        Calculates cell-face values using
330
        2nd order MUSCL reconstruction of
     *
     *
        characteristic variables
332
     */
    specialize double[+], double[+] xmuscl2 (double[NX,NY,7] qc, double sx,
334
                                    double sy, int n1, int n2);
   inline
336
    double[+], double[+] xmuscl2 (double[+] qc, double sx,
                                    double sy, int n1, int n2)
338
    {
340
        qpl = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
        qpr = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
342
        dq = genarray([nmax+3,4], 0d);
344
        dq = with{ ([n1-1,0] <= iv <= [n2,3])
                 : qc[iv+[1,3]]-qc[iv+[0,3]];}
346
                 : modarray(dq);
348
        wq = genarray([4],0d);
350
        for (i=n1; i <= n2; i++){</pre>
           r = qc[i,3];
352
           c2 = GAM*qc[i,4]/r;
           c = sqrt(c2);
354
356
           dunl = sx*dq[i-1,2]+sy*dq[i-1,3];
           dutl = -sy*dq[i-1,2]+sx*dq[i-1,3];
           dunr = sx*dq[i,2]+sy*dq[i,3];
358
           dutr = -sy*dq[i,2]+sx*dq[i,3];
360
           wql = [dq[i-1,1]-r*c*dunl,
                   dq[i-1,0]-dq[i-1,1]/c2,
362
                   dutl,
                   dq[i-1,1]+r*c*dunl];
364
           wqr = [dq[i,1] - r*c*dunr,
366
                   dq[i,0]-dq[i,1]/c2,
                   dutr,
368
                   dq[i,1]+r*c*dunr];
370
           wq = with { ([0] <= L <= [2])
                   : MIN_MOD(wql[L],wqr[L]);}
372
                   : modarray(wq);
374
           gun = 0.5d*(wq[3]-wq[0])/(r*c);
376
           gut = wq[2];
           gp = 0.5d*(wq[0]+wq[3]);
           gr = gp/c2+wq[1];
378
           gux = sx*gun-sy*gut;
           guy = sy*gun+sx*gut;
380
382
           gq = [gr,gp,gux,guy];
           for (L=0; L<=3; L++){
384
             qpl[i,L] = qc[i,L+3]-0.5d*gq[L];
386
             qpr[i,L] = qc[i,L+3]+0.5d*gq[L];}
           }
388
        return (qpl,qpr);
390
   }
392
```

```
18
    /*
        Calculates cell-face values using
394
        3rd order WENO reconstruction of
        characteristic variables
396
     *
     */
398
    specialize double[+], double[+] weno3 (double[NX,NY,7] qc, double sx,
                                  double sy, int n1, int n2);
400
    inline
    double[+], double[+] weno3 (double[+] qc, double sx,
                                  double sy, int n1, int n2)
402
    {
        eps = [0.0000001d, 0.0000001d,
404
               0.0000001d, 0.0000001d];
        s13 = 1d/3d;
406
        s23 = 2d/3d;
408
410
        qpl = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
        qpr = genarray([nmax+4,4], 0d);
412
        dq = with{ ([n1-1,0] <= iv <= [n2,3])
414
                 : qc[iv+[1,3]]-qc[iv+[0,3]];}
                 : genarray([nmax+3,4], 0d);
416
        for (i=n1; i <= n2; i++){</pre>
418
           r = qc[i,3];
420
           c2 = GAM*qc[i,4]/r;
           c = sqrt(c2);
422
           dunl = sx*dq[i-1,2]+sy*dq[i-1,3];
           dutl = -sy*dq[i-1,2]+sx*dq[i-1,3];
424
           dunr = sx*dq[i,2]+sy*dq[i,3];
           dutr = -sy*dq[i,2]+sx*dq[i,3];
426
           wql = [dq[i-1,1]-r*c*dunl,
428
                   dq[i-1,0]-dq[i-1,1]/c2,
430
                   dutl,
                   dq[i-1,1]+r*c*dunl];
432
```

```
wqr = [dq[i,1] - r*c*dunr,
       dq[i,0]-dq[i,1]/c2,
```

```
dq[i,1]+r*c*dunr];
436
            sl = wql*wql+eps;
438
            sr = wqr*wqr+eps;
440
            sl = sl*sl;
442
            sr = sr*sr;
            al = s13/s1;
444
            ar = s23/sr;
446
            bl = s23/sl;
```

dutr,

```
br = s13/sr;
448
```

434

456

```
gwl = (bl*wql+br*wqr)/(bl+br);
450
          gwr = (al*wql+ar*wqr)/(al+ar);
452
          gunl = 0.5d*(gwl[3]-gwl[0])/(r*c);
          gutl = gwl[2];
454
          gpl = 0.5d*(gwl[0]+gwl[3]);
          grl = gpl/c2+gwl[1];
```

guxl = sx\*gunl-sy\*gutl;

```
guyl = sy*gunl+sx*gutl;
458
           gql = [grl,gpl,guxl,guyl];
460
           gunr = 0.5d*(gwr[3]-gwr[0])/(r*c);
462
           gutr = gwr[2];
           gpr = 0.5d*(gwr[0]+gwr[3]);
464
           grr = gpr/c2+gwr[1];
           guxr = sx*gunr-sy*gutr;
466
           guyr = sy*gunr+sx*gutr;
468
           gqr = [grr,gpr,guxr,guyr];
470
           for (L=0; L <= 3; L++){
472
             qpl[i,L] = qc[i,L+3]-0.5d*gql[L];
             qpr[i,L] = qc[i,L+3]+0.5d*gqr[L];}
           3
474
        return (qpl,qpr);
476
   }
478
    /*
        Evaluates numerical flux using
480
        HLLE approximate Riemann solver
     */
482
    specialize double[+] flux (double[NX,NY,7] qpl, double[NX,NY,7] qpr,
                     double sx, double sy, int n);
484
    inline
   double[+] flux (double[+] qpl, double[+] qpr,
486
                     double sx, double sy, int n)
488
   {
        f = genarray([nmax+1,4], 0d);
490
        f = with {
             ([0] <= [i] <= [n]) {
492
             rl = qpr[i+1,0];
494
             pl = qpr[i+1,1];
             ul = qpr[i+1,2];
496
             vl = qpr[i+1,3];
             unl = sx*ul+sy*vl;
498
             el = energ(rl,pl,ul,vl);
             ml = rl*ul;
500
             nl = rl*vl;
             cl = sqrt(GAM*pl/rl);
502
             ql = [ml, nl, el, rl];
504
             fl = [unl*ml+sx*pl, unl*nl+sy*pl, unl*(el+pl), unl*rl];
506
             rr = qpl[i+2,0];
             pr = qpl[i+2,1];
508
             ur = qpl[i+2,2];
             vr = qpl[i+2,3];
510
             unr = sx*ur+sy*vr;
512
             er = energ(rr,pr,ur,vr);
             mr = rr*ur;
514
             nr = rr*vr;
             cr = sqrt(GAM*pr/rr);
516
             qr = [mr, nr, er, rr];
             fr = [unr*mr+sx*pr, unr*nr+sy*pr, unr*(er+pr), unr*rr];
518
             bl = min(unl-cl,unr-cr);
520
             br = max(unl+cl,unr+cr);
             bm = min(bl, 0d);
522
```

20

```
bp = max(br, 0d);
524
              fc = (bp*fl-bm*fr+
                    bp*bm*(qr-ql))/(bp-bm);
526
              } : fc;
              } : genarray([nmax+1], [0d,0d,0d,0d]);
528
530
        return(f);
   }
532
    /*
534
     *
        Advances solution in time
     */
536
    inline
    double[+], double step_flow (double[+] q, double t,
538
                                    double tk)
    {
540
        while ((fabs(t-tk) > 0.00000001d) ){
           dt = getdt(q);
542
           dt = min(dt,tk-t);
544
           if (IADV == 1)
             q = rktvd1 (q, dt);
546
            else if (IADV == 2)
              q = rktvd2 (q, dt);
548
            else if (IADV == 3)
550
              q = rktvd3 (q, dt);
           else
             printf (" Wrong value of IADV! \n");
552
           t = t + dt;
554
           printf("t = %1.16e, dt = %1.16e \n",t,dt);}
556
        return (q,t);
   }
558
    /*
560
     *
        Evaluates available time step
     */
562
    inline
   double getdt(double[+] q)
564
    {
        evmax = 0d;
566
        for (ix=0; ix <= NX-1; ix++){</pre>
568
        for (iy=0; iy <= NY-1; iy++){</pre>
          c = sqrt(GAM*q[ix,iy,4]/q[ix,iy,3]);
570
            ux = q[ix, iy, 5];
            uy = q[ix, iy, 6];
572
             ev = (fabs(ux)+c)/DX+(fabs(uy)+c)/DY;
             evmax = max(ev,evmax);
574
        }
        }
576
        dt = CFL/evmax;
578
        return (dt);
580
    }
582
    /*
        Time integration with forward Euler method
     *
584
     */
    specialize double[+] rktvd1 (double[NX,NY,7] q, double dt);
586
    inline
```

```
double[+] rktvd1 (double[+] q, double dt)
588
    {
        rq = rhs(q);
590
        q = with {([0,0,0] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
592
              : q[iv] + dt*rq[iv];}
               : modarray(q);
594
        q = poststep (q);
596
        return (q);
598
    }
600
    /*
602
     *
        Time integration with 2nd order Runge-Kutta method
     */
    specialize double[+] rktvd2 (double[NX,NY,7] q, double dt);
604
    inline
   double[+] rktvd2 (double[+] q, double dt)
606
    ł
        q0 = q;
608
        rq = rhs(q);
610
        q = with {([0,0,0] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
              : q[iv] + dt*rq[iv];}
612
              : modarray(q);
        q = poststep (q);
614
616
        rq = rhs(q);
        q = with {([0,0,0] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
              : 0.5d*(q0[iv]+q[iv] + dt*rq[iv]);}
618
               : modarray(q);
        q = poststep (q);
620
        return (q);
622
    }
624
626
        Time integration with 3rd order
        Runge-Kutta TVD method
     *
     */
628
    specialize double[+] rktvd3 (double[NX,NY,7] q, double dt);
   inline
630
    double[+] rktvd3 (double[+] q, double dt)
632
   ł
        q0 = q;
634
        rq = rhs(q);
        q = with {([0,0,0] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
636
              : q[iv] + dt*rq[iv];}
              : modarray(q);
638
        q = poststep (q);
640
        rq = rhs(q);
        q = with {([0,0,0] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
642
               : 0.25d*(3d*q0[iv]+q[iv] + dt*rq[iv]);}
644
               : modarray(q);
        q = poststep (q);
646
        rq = rhs(q);
        q = with {([0,0,0] <= iv <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
648
               : (q0[iv]+2d*q[iv] + 2d*dt*rq[iv])/3d;}
               : modarray(q);
650
        q = poststep (q);
652
```

```
return (q);
   }
654
    /*
656
     *
        Evaluates right hand side
658
    */
    specialize double[+] rhs (double[NX,NY,7] q);
660
   inline
    double[+] rhs (double[+] q)
    {
662
        gm1 = GAM - 1d;
        gp1 = GAM+1d;
664
            = (2d*GAM*MS*MS-gm1)/gp1;
666
        ps
        rs
            = GAM*gp1*MS*MS/(gm1*MS*MS+2d);
668
        us
            = 2d*(MS-1d/MS)/gp1;
        es
            = energ(rs,ps,us,0d);
670
        qlbc = genarray([2,NY],[rs*us,0d,es,rs,ps,us,0d]);
        qlbc = with {
672
                 ([0,NJET,0] <= iv=[ix,iy,L] <= [1,NY-1,6]){
                   if ((L == 0) || (L == 5))
674
                     qval = -q[3-ix, iy, L];
                   else
676
                     qval = q[3-ix, iy, L];
                 } : qval;
678
                } : modarray(qlbc);
680
        qbbc = genarray([NX,2],[0d,rs*us,es,rs,ps,0d,us]);
        qbbc = with {
682
                  ([NJET,0,0] <= iv=[ix,iy,L] <= [NX-1,1,6]){
                    if ( (L == 1) || (L == 6) )
684
                      qval = -q[ix, 3-iy, L];
                    else
686
                      qval = q[ix, 3-iy, L];
688
                  } : qval;
                  } : modarray(qbbc);
690
        rq = genarray([NX,NY,4],0d);
692
        qc = genarray([nmax+4,7],0d);
694
        f = genarray ([nmax+1],[0d,0d,0d]);
696
        sx = 1d; sy = 0d;
698
        for (iy=0; iy <= NY-1; iy++){</pre>
700
          qc = with {([2,0] <= iv=[ix,L] <= [NX+1,6])
702
                  : q[ix-2,iy,L];}
                  : modarray(qc);
704
          qc = with {([0,0] <= iv=[ix,L] <= [1,6])
                  : qlbc[ix,iy,L];}
706
                  : modarray(qc);
708
          qc = with {([NX+2,0] <= iv=[ix,L] <= [NX+3,6])</pre>
                  : qc[NX+1,L];}
710
                  : modarray(qc);
712
          qpl,qpr = muscl (qc, sx, sy, 1, NX+2);
714
          f = flux(qpl,qpr, sx, sy, NX);
          rq = with {([0,iy,0] <= iv=[ix,j,L] <= [NX-1,iy,3])
716
                  : rq[iv]+(f[ix,L]-f[ix+1,L])/DX;}
```

22

```
: modarray(rq);
718
        }
720
        qc = genarray([nmax+4,7],0d);
722
        f = genarray ([nmax+1],[0d,0d,0d,0d]);
724
        sx = 0d; sy = 1d;
726
        for (ix=0; ix <= NX-1; ix++){</pre>
728
          qc = with {([2,0] <= iv=[iy,L] <= [NY+1,6])
                  : q[ix,iy-2,L];}
730
                  : modarray(qc);
732
          qc = with {([0,0] <= iv=[iy,L] <= [1,6])
734
                  : qbbc[ix,iy,L];}
                  : modarray(qc);
736
          qc = with {([NY+2,0] <= iv=[iy,L] <= [NY+3,6])
                  : qc[NY+1,L];}
738
                  : modarray(qc);
740
          qpl,qpr = muscl (qc, sx, sy, 1, NY+2);
742
          f = flux(qpl,qpr, sx, sy, NY);
744
          rq = with {([ix,0,0] <= iv=[i,iy,L] <= [ix,NY-1,3])
746
                  : rq[iv]+(f[iy,L]-f[iy+1,L])/DY;}
                  : modarray(rq);
        }
748
         if (IAXIS == 1){
750
           y = with {([0] <= [iy] <= [NY-1])
                  : DY*(tod(iy)+0.5d);}
752
                  : genarray([NY], Od);
           rq = with {
754
                  ([0,0,0] <= iv=[ix,iy,L] <= [NX-1,NY-1,3])
                \{qq = q[iv];
756
                 if (L == 2){
                   qq = qq + q[iv+[0,0,2]];}
758
                 uy = q[ix,iy,6];
                 yc = y[iy];
760
                 rqval = rq[iv]-qq*uy/yc;} : rqval;
                } : modarray(rq);
762
        }
764
        return(rq);
766
   }
    /*
768
     *
        Main program
    */
770
    int main()
772
   {
        tf = 0.05d;
        tp = 0.05d;
774
        x,y = init_grid();
776
        q = init_flow();
778
    #if defined (SAVE)
        save_step( x,y,q);
780
    #endif
782
```

```
t = 0d;
784
        tk = t;
        while (t < tf) {
          tk = tk+tp;
786
          q,t = step_flow(q,t,tk);
788
    #if defined (SAVE)
         printf ("\n record at t = \label{eq:lf} (n \n",t);
790
          save_step( x,y,q);
    #endif
792
        }
794
        return(0);
796 }
```

# APPENDIX B - sac2c Manual Page

-----

SAC - Single Assignment C

NAME: sac2c VERSION: v1.00-beta (Buchette d'Anjou) PLATFORM: darwin9.7.0\_i686

#### DESCRIPTION:

The sac2c compiler transforms SAC source code into executable programs (SAC programs) or into a SAC specific library format (SAC module and class implementations), respectively.

The compilation process is performed in 4 separate stages:

- 1. sac2c uses any C preprocessor to preprocess the given SAC source;
- 2. sac2c itself transforms preprocessed SAC source code into C code;
- 3. sac2c uses any C compiler to generate target machine code;
- 4. sac2c uses any C linker to create an executable program or sac2c itself creates a SAC library file.

When compiling a SAC program, sac2c stores the corresponding intermediate C code either in the file a.out.c in the current directory (default) or in the file <file>.c if <file> is specified using the -o option. Here, any absolute or relative path name may be used. The executable program is either written to the file a.out or to any file specified using the -o option.

However, when compiling a SAC module/class implementation, the resulting SAC library is stored in the files <mod/class name>.a and <mod/class name>.so in the current directory. In this case, the -o option may be used to specify a different directory but not a different file name.

#### SPECIAL OPTIONS:

-h	Display this helptext.
-help	Display this helptext.
-copyright	Display copyright/disclaimer.
-V	Display version identification.
-VV	Display verbose version identification.
-libstat	Print status information of the given SAC library file.
-prsc	Print resource settings.
-М	Detect dependencies from imported modules/classes and
	write them to stdout in a way suitable for the make

utility. -Mlib Same as -M except that the output format is suitable for makefiles used by the standard library building process. NOTE: When called with one of these options, sac2c does not perform any compilation steps. GENERAL OPTIONS: -D <var> Set preprocessor variable <var>. -D <var>=<val> Set preprocessor variable <var> to <val>. -cppI <path> Specify path for preprocessor includes. -L <path> Specify additional SAC library file path. -I <path> Specify additional SAC library source file path. Specify additional C library file path. -E <path> -o <name> For compilation of programs: Write executable to specified file. For compilation of module/class implementations: Write library to specified directory. Generate C-file only; do not invoke C compiler. -c -v <n> Specify verbose level: 0: error messages only 1: error messages and warnings 2: basic compile time information 3: full compile time information 4: even more compile time information (default: 3)

#### BREAK OPTIONS:

Break options allow you to stop the compilation process after a particular phase, subphase or cycle optimisation. By default the intermediate programm will be printed, but this behaviour may be influenced by the following compiler options:

- -noPAB Deactivates printing after break.-doPAB Activates printing after break.
- -b<spec> Break after the compilation stage given by <spec>, where <spec> follows the pattern <phase>:<subphase>:<cyclephase>:<pass>. The first three are from the list of encodings below. The last one is a natural number. Alternatively, a number can be used

26

for the phase, as well.

BREAK OPTION SPECIFIERS:

```
scp | 1 : Loading SAC program
  loc
          : Locating source code
         : Running C preprocessor
  срр
         : Parsing input file
  prs
pre | 2 : Preprocessing SAC program
          : Hiding struct definitions behind typedefs and accessors
  hs
  iotc
         : Introducing user-tracing calls
         : Handling zero-generator with-loops
  zgwl
         : Handling multi-generator with-loops
 mgwl
 mowl
         : Handling multi-operator with-loops
  acn
         : Resolving axis control and dot notation
         : Resolving pragma annotations
 rpr
          : Generating object initializers
  obi
         : Checking and simplifying generic definitions
  csgd
mod | 3 : Running module system
 rsa
          : Processing use and import statements
         : Annotating namespaces
  ans
  gdp
         : Gathering dependencies
         : Printing dependencies
 pdp
         : Retrieving imported symbols
  imp
         : Retrieving used symbols
  uss
  asf
         : Loading prelude functions
sim | 4 : Simplifying source code
         : Transforming while-loops into do-loops
  w2d
          : Eliminating conditional expressions
  ece
 moe
         : Handling multiple operator expressions
         : Flattening nested expressions
  flt
  udt
          : Processing user defined types
  ggtc
         : Generating generic type conversion functions
ptc | 5 : Converting to static single assignment form
  ivd
          : Inserting variable declarations
          : Converting type decls into type conversions
  itc
  cwf
         : Creating wrapper functions
          : Running global object analysis
  gon
         : Generating global object initialiser
  goi
         : Resolving global objects
 rso
         : Resolving reference parameters
  rrp
  ewt
         : Extending dispatch information
          : Eliminating loops and conditionals
  12f
         : Extending LaC funs
  elf
         : Establishing static single assignment form
  ssa
tc | 6 : Running type inference system
          : Enforcing Specializations
  esp
```

: Specialization Oracle for Static Shape Knowledge sossk : Running type inference system ti etv : Eliminating Type Variables : Eliminating Bottom Types ebt swr : Splitting Wrappers exp | 7 : Processing exports : Exporting symbols exp dfr : Removing dead functions ser : Serializing syntax tree rgd : Removing generic function definitions : Restoring bodies of imported inline functions iif unq | 8 : Checking uniqueness property of objects : Checking uniqueness annotations cua : Checking uniqueness cuq cwc | 9 : Creating Wrapper Code and Eliminating User-Defined Types : Creating Wrapper Bodies cwb 12f : Eliminating conditionals in wrapper code : Establishing static single assignment form in wrapper code ssa : Trying to dispatch functions statically dfc eudt : Eliminating User-Defined Types icc : Inserting Conformity Checks ti : Running type inference system : Eliminating Type Variables etv ebt : Eliminating Bottom Types ewl | 10 : Enhancing with-loops accu : Introducing explicit accumulators : Adding default partitions adp : Generating full with-loop partitions wlpg opt | 11 : Running SAC optimizations : Removing dead functions dfr inl : Applying function inlining dfr2 : Removing dead functions : Removing dead code dcr lir : Applying loop invariant removal isaa1 : Inserting symbolic array attributes : Eliminating symbolic array attributes esaa1 saadcr : Removing dead code (after SAA cycle 1) : Grouping local functions glf : Optimization cycle cyc : Applying common subexpression elimination (fun based) cse ili : Inferring loop invariant variables (fun based) tup : Applying type upgrade (fun based) : Eliminating Type Variables (fun based) etv : Eliminating Bottom Types (fun based) ebt dfc : Applying function call dispatch (fun based) inl : Applying inlining (fun based) wlpr : Applying with-loop propagation (fun based) : Applying constant folding (fun based) cf

cvp		: Propagating constants and variables (fun based)
wlpg		: Generating full with-loop partitions (fun based)
wlsimp		: Simplifying with-loops (fun based)
cwle -		: Eliminate copy with-loops (fun based)
wli		: Inferring foldable with-loops (fun based)
wlf		: Applying with-loop folding (fun based)
wlfssa		: Restoring SSA form after with-loop folding (fun based)
shwlc		: Activating display of WL-Cost information (fun based)
unshwlo	:	: Deactivating display of WL-Cost information (fun based)
dcr		: Applying dead code removal (fun based)
wls		· Applying with-loop scalarization (fun based)
prfunr		· Applying wrom roop Scalarization (run Saboa)
lur		· Applying loop unrolling (fun based)
lurgea		: Restoring SSA form after loop unrolling (fun based)
ulur		: Applying withloop unrolling (fun based)
wiui		: Restoring SSA form ofter withloop unrolling (fun based)
VIUISSO	L	. Inlining degenerated LoC functions (fun based)
11111 ]		· Applying with leap inversiont removed (fur based)
WIII		: Applying with-loop invariant removal (lun based)
etc		: Eliminating typeconv primitives (fun based)
esa		: Eliminating subtraction and division operators (fun based)
as		: Arithmetic Simplification (fun based)
al		: Applying associative law (fun based)
dl ,		: Applying distributive law (fun based)
uesd		: Reintroducing subtraction and division operators (fun based)
dcr2		: Applying dead code removal (fun based)
sisi		: Simplifying function signatures
lof		: Lifting optimization flags
scyc	:	Type stabilization cycle
tup		: Applying type upgrade (fun based)
etv		: Eliminating Type Variables (fun based)
ebt		: Eliminating Bottom Types (fun based)
dfc		: Applying function call dispatch (fun based)
lof		: Lifting optimization flags
uglf	:	Ungrouping local functions
ls	:	Applying Loop Scalarization
lir2	:	Applying loop invariant removal
dfr3	:	Removing dead functions
flt	:	Flattening with-loop generators
ivext	:	Inserting index vector extrema
dcr2	:	Applying dead code removal again
isaa2	:	Inserting symbolic array attributes
saacyc	:	Symbolic array attribute cycle 2
prfunr		: Applying prf unrolling
tup		: Applying type upgrade
etv		: Eliminating type variables
ebt		: Eliminating bottom types
cf		: Applying constant folding
cse		: Eliminating common subexpressions
cvp		: Propagating constants and variables
wlpg		: Generating full with-loop partitions
wlsimp		: Simplifying with-loops
ivexp		: Propagating index vector extrema
swlfi		: Inferring symbolically foldable with-loops

swlf : Applying symbolic with-loop folding : Removing dead code dcr tup : Running final type inference : Eliminating type variables etv ebt : Eliminating bottom types : Applying with-loop fusion wlfs wlfscse : Eliminating common subexpressions after fusion wlfsdcr : Removing dead code after fusion : Generating full with-loop partitions wlpg2 wrci : Inferencing with-loop reuse candidates wlidx : Annoting offset variable at with-loops ivexc : Cleaning up index vector extrema scc : Stripping conformity checks and dataflow guards ivesplit : Eliminating index vectors (split selections) ivecvp : Propagating constants and variables (for IVE) ivecse : Eliminating common subexpression (for IVE) iveras : Eliminating index vectors (reuse WL-offsets and scalarize) wlflt : Trying to flatten multi-dimensional withloops esaa2 : Eliminating symbolic array attributes lir3 : Applying loop invariant removal : Unflattening WL generator 11f] : Removing dead code dcr3 wllom : Withloop lock optimization marking wllos : Withloop lock optimization shifting : Freeing dispatch information fdi : Profiling function applications pfap : Displaying optimisation statistics stat wlt | 12 : Transforming with-loop representation ນຮຮລ : Converting from SSA form f21 : Reintroducing loops and conditionals linl : Inlining LaC functions : Transforming with-loop representation wltr 12f : Eliminating loops and conditionals : Establishing static single assignment form ssa : Splitting withloops by dimensions wlsd cvp : Propagating constants and variables : Removing dead code dcr acuwl : Annotate CUDA withloops cutycv : CUDA type conversion mt3 | 13 : Running 3rd generation multithreading : Tagging execution modes tem crwiw : Creating with in with : Propagating execution modes pem : Creating data flow graph cdfg asmra : Rearringing assignments crece : Creating execution mode cells cegro : Extending execution mode cells repfun : Replicating functions mtdfr : Removing superfluous functions concel : Consolidating execution mode cells abort : Aborting MT3 compilation

```
mem | 14 : Introducing memory management instructions
  simd
         : SIMD inference
          : AUD/SCL distinction
  asd
  сору
         : Making copy operations explicit
         : Removing alias results from conformity checks
  racc
          : Introducing explicit allocation statements
  alloc
         : Removing dead code
  dcr
         : Inferring reuse candidates
  rci
  shal
         : Activating display of alias information
  ia
         : Interface aliasing analysis
         : Applying loop reuse optimization
  lro
         : Aliasing analysis
  aa
         : Removing non-local reuse-candidates
  srce
         : Removing invalid reuse candidates
  frc
  sr
          : Static reuse
  rb
         : Introducing reuse branches
         : Identifying in-place updates
  ipc
  dr
          : Exploiting data reuse
  dcr2
         : Removing dead code again
  unshal : Deactivating display of alias information
         : Running reference count inference
  rc
          : Reducing reference counting instructions
 rcm
  rco
          : Optimizing reference counting instructions
          : Removing reuse instructions
  re
ussa | 15 : Converting from static single assignment form
         : Converting from SSA form
  ussa
  f21
         : Reintroducing loops and conditionals
  linl
         : Inlining LaC functions
         : Removing external code
  rec
         : Restoring reference arguments
  rera
         : Restoring global objects
  reso
mt | 16 : Running automatic parallelisation
           : Running multithreading cost model
 mtcm
 mtstf
          : Creating MT and ST functions
 mtspmdf : Creating SPMD functions
          : Annotating scheduling information
 mtas
  sspmdls : Applying SPMD linksign pragma
pc | 17 : Preparing C code generation
          : Create Cuda kernel functions
  cuknl
  lw3
          : Lifting With-Loop bodies into threads
          : Marking memval identifiers
 mmv
  dst
          : Computing static thread mapping
  sls
          : Applying linksign pragma
  moi
          : Manage object initialisers
         : Resolving code sharing in With-Loops
  rcs
  fpc
         : Reorganising function prototypes
  tcp
         : Applying type conversions
         : Mark NoOp Grids
 mng
          : Consistently renaming identifiers
  rid
```

cg | 18 : Generating Code : Tag preparation tp : Converting to old type representation  $\operatorname{ctr}$ : Creating intermediate code macros cpl : Generating C file(s) prt : De-allocating syntax tree representation frtr icc | 19 : Creating binary code : Handling dependencies hdep : Invoking C compiler ivcc crl : Creating SAC library

### PRINTING OPTIONS:

-print [adv]+
 Add internal AST information as comments to the program output.
 The following flags are supported:
 a: Print all (same as dv).
 d: Print specialization demand.
 v: Print avis information.

TYPE INFERENCE OPTIONS:

-specmode <strat> Specify function specialization strategy: aks: try to infer all shapes statically, akd: try to infer all ranks statically, aud: do not specialize at all. (default: aks)

-maxspec <n> Individual functions will be specialized at most <n> times. (default: 20)

### OPTIMIZATION OPTIONS:

-enforceIEEE	Treat floating point arithmetic as defined in the IEEE-754	
	standard. In particular, this means	
- disable some algebraic optimizations,		
- disable segmentation and tiling of fold-with-loop		
	- disable parallel execution of fold-with-loops.	
Currently implemented for:		
	- associative law optimization,	
	- segmentation and tiling of fold-with-loops.	
-noreuse	Disable reuse inference in emm.	
-iveo <n></n>	Enable or disable certain index vector optimisations <n> is a bitmask consisting of the following bits:</n>	
	1: enable the usage of withloop offsets where possible	
	2: scalarise vect2offset operations where possible	

3: try to optimise computations on index vectors 4: try to reuse offsets once computed The iveo option to for testing, and is to be removed. This option, if enabled, forces all with-loop generator -ssaiv variables to be unique (SSA form). (This is a prerequisite for MINVAL/MAXVAL work.) If disabled (the default setting), all with-loop generators use the same index vector variables. This option, if enabled, allows the compiler to -extrema use optimizations based on index variable extrema; i.e., the minimum and maximum value that index variables may take on. This option requires that -ssaiv is also enabled. With this option local functions (loop, cond, ...) are -glf grouped together in a local spine during the optimisation This is an internal option only. -no <opt> Disable optimization technique <opt>. -do <opt> Enable optimization technique <opt>.

The following optimization techniques are currently supported:

(A leading \* identifies optimization enabled by default.)

*	ls	loop scalarization
*	dcr	dead code removal
*	cf	constant folding
*	lir	loop invariant removal
*	inl	function inlining
*	lur	loop unrolling
*	wlur	with-loop unrolling
*	prfunr	prf unrolling
	lus	loop unswitching
*	cse	common subexpression elimination
*	dfr	dead function removal
	wlt	with-loop transformation
*	wlf	with-loop folding
	swlf	symbolic with-loop folding
*	ive	index vector elimination (requires -dosaa)
	wlflt	withloop flattening
	ae	array elimination
*	dl	distributive law
*	rco	reference count optimization
*	uip	update-in-place analysis
*	dr	data reuse
*	ipc	in-place computation
	tsi	with-loop tile size inference
	tsp	with-loop tile size pragmas

```
* wlpg
           with-loop partition generation
           constant and variable propagation
  * cvp
  * srf
           static reuse / static free
           private heap management
    phm
           arena preselection (requires -dophm)
    aps
            descriptor preallocation (requires -dophm)
    dpa
           memory size cache adjustment (requires -dophm)
    msca
           array padding
    ap
            array placement
    apl
  * wls
           with-loop scalarization
  * al
            associative law
           arithmetic simplification
  * as
  * etc
           typeconv elimination
           selection propagation
    sp
  * wlsimp with-loop simplification
  * cwle
           copy with-loop elimination
           with-loop fusion
    wlfs
  * lro
          loop reuse optimization
          type upgrade
  * tup
    sisi signature simplification
           subtraction / division elimination
  * sde
  * wlprop with-loop propagation
  * saa
        use symbolic array attributes
  * сус
           run optimization cycle
  * scyc run stabilization cycle
    wllo
          run with-loop lock optimization
NOTE:
 -no opt
            disables all optimizations at once.
 -do opt
             enables all optimizations at once.
NOTE:
 Upper case letters may be used to indicate optimization techniques.
NOTE:
 Command line arguments are evaluated from left to right, i.e.,
 "-no opt -do inl" disables all optimizations except for function inlining.
NOTE:
 Some of the optimization techniques are parameterized by additional side
 conditions. They are controlled by the following options:
               Repeat optimization cycle max <n> times. After <n> cycles
-maxoptcyc <n>
                all optimisations except for type upgrade and function dispatch
                are disabled.
                  (default: 10)
-maxrecinl <n> Inline recursive function applications at most <n> times.
                  (default: 0)
-maxlur <n>
               Unroll loops having at most <n> iterations.
                  (default: 2)
```

- -maxwlur <n> Unroll with-loops with at most <n> elements generator set size. (default: 9)
- -maxae <n> Try to eliminate arrays with at most <n> elements. (default: 4)
- -initwheap <n> At program startup initially request <n> KB of heap memory for each worker thread. (default: 64)
- -aplimit <n> Set the array padding resource allocation overhead limit to <n> %. (default: 10)
- -apdiag Print additional information for array padding to file "<outfile>.ap", where <outfile> is the name specified via the "-o" option.
- -apdiagsize <n> Limit the amount of information written to the diagnostic output file created via the -apdiag option to approximately <n> lines. (default: 20000)
- -wls\_aggressive Set WLS optimization level to aggressive.
   WARNING:
   Aggressive with-loop scalarization may have the opposite
   effect as with-loop invariant removal and cause duplication
   of code execution.
- -maxwls Set the maximum number of inner with-loop elements for which aggressive behaviour will be used even if -wls\_aggressive is not given. (default: 1)
- -nofoldfusion Eliminate fusion of with-loops with fold operator.
- -sigspec <strat> Specify strategy for specialization of function sigantures: akv: try to infer all values statically, aks: try to infer all shapes statically, akd: try to infer all ranks statically,

aud: do not specialize at all. (default: aks)

#### MULTI-THREAD OPTIONS:

-mt Compile program for multi-threaded execution, e.g. implicitly parallelize the code for non-sequential execution on shared memory multiprocessors. NOTE: The number of threads to be used can either be specified statically using the option "-numthreads" or dynamically upon application startup using the generic command line option "-mt <n>". -mtmode <n> Enable a explicit organization scheme for multi-threaded program execution. Legal values: 1: with thread creation/termination 2: with start/stop barriers 3: with magical new techniques, WARNING: UNDER CONSTRUCTION !!! (default: 2) -numthreads <n> Specify at compile time the exact number of threads to be used for parallel execution. -maxthreads <n> Specify at compile time only an upper bound on the number of threads to be used for parallel execution when exact number is determined at runtime. (default: 32) -nofoldparallel Disable parallelization of fold with-loops. Specify maximum number of fold with-loops to be combined -maxsync <n> into a single synchronisation block. Legal values: -1: maximum number needed (mechanically infered). 0: no fold-with-loops are allowed. (This implies that fold-with-loops are not executed in parallel.) >0: maximum number set to <n>. (default: -1) -minmtsize <n> Specify minimum generator set size for parallel execution of with-loops. (default: 250) -maxrepsize <n> Specify maximum size for arrays to be replicated as private data of multiple threads. (default: 250) Option applies to "-mtn" style parallelization only.

### MUTC OPTIONS:

-mutc\_fun\_threads Convert all functions to thread functions and use singleton creates

-mutc\_macros Use mutc macro abstraction interface

#### BACKEND OPTIONS:

-minarrayrep <class>
 Specify the minimum array representation class used:
 s: use all (SCL, AKS, AKD, AUD) representations,
 d: use SCL, AKD, AUD representations only,
 +: use SCL, AUD representations only,
 \*: use AUD representation only.
 (default: s)

GENERAL DEBUG OPTIONS:

-d nocleanup	Do not remove temporary files and directories.
-d syscall	Show all system calls during compilation.
-d cccall	Generate shell script ".sac2c" that contains C compiler
	invocation.
	This implies option "-d nocleanup".

INTERNAL DEBUG OPTIONS:

-d treecheck	Check syntax tree for consistency with xml specification.
-d memcheck	Check syntax tree for memory consistency.
-d sancheck	Check syntax tree for structural consistency.
-d nolacinline	Do not inline loop and conditional functions.
-d efence	Link executable with ElectricFence (malloc debugger).

INTERNAL OPTIONS FOR FRED FISH'S DBUG:

-# t	Display trace information. Each function entry and exit during program execution is printed on the screen.
-# d	Display debug output information. Each DBUG_PRINT macro in the code will be executed. Each DBUG_EXECUTE macro in the code will be executed.
-# d, <str></str>	Restrict "-# d" option to DBUG_PRINT / DBUG_EXECUTE macros which are tagged with the string <str> (no quotes).</str>

-# <f>/<t>/<o> Restrict the effect of any Fred Fish DBUG package option <o>

to the range <f> to <t> of sac2c compiler phases. (default: <f> = first compiler phase, <t> = last compiler phase.) All kinds of phases can be specified using a syntax analogous to that of the -b option. RUNTIME CHECK OPTIONS: Insert explicit conformity checks at compile time. -ecc -check [atbmeh]+ Incorporate runtime checks into executable program. The following flags are supported: a: Incorporate all available runtime checks. c: Perform conformity checks. t: Check assignments for type violations. b: Check array accesses for boundary violations. m: Check success of memory allocations. e: Check errno variable upon applications of external functions. h: Use diagnostic heap manager. RUNTIME TRACE OPTIONS: -trace [amrfpwstc]+ Incorporate trace output generation into executable program. The following flags are supported: a: Trace all (same as mrfpowt). m: Trace memory operations. r: Trace reference counting operations. f: Trace user-defined function calls. p: Trace primitive function calls. w: Trace with-loop execution. s: Trace array accesses. t: Trace multi-threading specific operations. c: Trace runtime enviroment init/exit when using SAC libraries in C programs. -utrace Introduce user tracing calls. RUNTIME PROFILING OPTIONS: -profile [afilw]+

Incorporate profiling analysis into executable program.
a: Analyse all (same as filw).
f: Analyse time spent in non-inline functions.
i: Analyse time spent in inline functions.
l: Analyse time spent in library functions.
w: Analyse time spent in with-loops.

#### CACHE SIMULATION OPTIONS:

-cs

Enable runtime cache simulation.

-csdefaults [sagbifp]+

This option sets default parameters for cache simulation. These settings may be overridden when starting the analysis of an application program:

- s: simple cache simulation,
- a: advanced cache simulation,
- g: global cache simulation,
- b: cache simulation on selected blocks,
- i: immediate analysis of memory access data,
- f: storage of memory access data in file,
- p: piping of memory access data to concurrently running analyser process.

The default simulation parameters are "sgp".

- -cshost <name> This option specifies the host machine to run the additional analyser process on when doing piped cache simulation. This is very useful for single processor machines because the rather limited buffer size of the pipe determines the synchronisation distance of the two processes, i.e. the application process and the analysis process. This results in very frequent context switches when both processes are run on the same processor, and consequently, degrades the performance by orders of magnitude. So, when doing piped cache simulation always be sure to do so either on a multiprocessor or specify a different machine to run the analyser process on. However, this only defines a default which may be overridden by using this option when starting the compiled application program.
- -csfile <name> This option specifies a default file where to write the memory access trace when performing cache simulation via a file. This default may be overridden by using this option when starting the compiled application program. The general default name is "<executable\_name>.cs".
- -csdir <name> This option specifies a default directory where to write the memory access trace file when performing cache simulation via a file. This default may be overridden by using this option when starting the compiled application program. The general default directory is the tmp directory specified in your sac2crc file.

CACHE SIMULATION FEATURES:

Simple cache simulation only counts cache hits and cache misses while advanced cache simulation additionally classifies cache misses into cold start, cross interference, self interference, and invalidation misses.

Simulation results may be presented for the entire program run or more
specifically for any code block marked by the following pragma:
 #pragma cachesim [tag]
The optional tag allows to distinguish between the simulation results
for various code blocks. The tag must be a string.

Memory accesses may be evaluated with respect to their cache behaviour either immediately within the application process, stored in a file, or they may be piped to a concurrently running analyser process. Whereas immediate analysis usually is the fastest alternative, results, in particular for advanced analysis, are often inaccurate due to changes in the memory layout caused by the analyser. If you choose to write memory accesses to a file, beware that even for small programs to be analysed the amount of data may be quite large. However, once a memory trace file exists, it can be used to simulate different cache configurations without repeatedly running the application program itself. The simulation tool for memory access trace files is called 'csima' and resides in the bin directory of your SAC installation.

These default cache simulation parameters may be overridden when invoking the application program to be analysed by using the generic command line option

-cs [sagbifp]+

where the various flags have the same meaning as described for the "-csdefaults" compiler option.

Cache parameters for up to 3 levels of caches may be provided as target specification in the sac2crc file. However, these only serve as a default cache specification which may well be altered when running the compiled SAC program with cache simulation enabled. This can be done using the following command line options:

-cs[123] <size>[/<line size>[/<assoc>[/<write miss policy>]]].
The cache size must be given in KBytes, the cache line size in
Bytes. A cache size of 0 KB disables the corresponding cache level
completely regardless of any other setting.

Write miss policies are specified by a single letter:

d: default (fetch on write)

- f: fetch on write
- v: write validate
- a: write around

LIBRARY OPTIONS:

40

	(default: 10)
	NOTE: A linksetsize of 0 means all functions are stored in a a single file.
-genlib <lang></lang>	<pre>Specify library format when compiling SAC module/class implementations. Supported values for <lang> are: sac: Generate SAC library file (default). c: Generate C object and header files.</lang></pre>
	NOTE: Be careful to use same options for privat heap management (PHM) and profiling for compilation of all modules/classes you are going to link together to a single executable.
	NOTE: Multithreading is not yet available for C libraries.
-noprelude	Do not load the standard prelude library 'sacprelude'.

### C-COMPILER OPTIONS:

-g	Include debug information into object code.
-0 <n></n>	<pre>Specify the C compiler level of optimization. 0: no C compiler optimizations. 1: minor C compiler optimizations. 2: medium C compiler optimizations. 3: full C compiler optimizations. (default: 0)</pre>
	NOTE: The actual effects of these options are specific t

The actual effects of these options are specific to the C compiler used for code generation. Both the choice of a C compiler as well as the mapping of these generic options to compiler-specific optimization options are are determined via the sac2crc configuration file. For details concerning sac2crc files see below under "customization".

### CUSTOMIZATION OPTIONS:

-target <name> Specify a particular compilation target. Compilation targets are used to customize sac2c for various target architectures, operating systems, and C compilers. The target description is either read from the installation specific file \$SACBASE/runtime/sac2crc or from a file named .sac2crc within the user's home directory.

-B <name> Selects one of the different backends to use. Currently sac2c supports the following backends:

c99	default	back	end	that	produces	c99	code
mutc	backend	for	the	mutc	extension	ı to	С

### ENVIRONMENT VARIABLES:

The following environment variables are used by the SAC compiler suite:

SACBASE	Base	directory	of	SAC	standard	lib	installation
SAC2CBASE	Base	directory	of	SAC	installat	cion.	

#### AUTHORS:

The following people contributed their time and mind to create the SAC compiler suite (roughly in order of entering the project):

Sven-Bodo Scholz Henning Wolf Arne Sievers Clemens Grelck Dietmar Kreye Soeren Schwartz Bjoern Schierau Helge Ernst Jan-Hendrik Schoeler Nico Marcussen-Wulff Markus Bradtke Borg Enders Kai Trojahner Michael Werner Stephan Herhut Karsten Hinckfuss Steffen Kuthe Jan-Henrik Baumgarten Robert Bernecky Theo van Klaveren Florian Buether Torben Gerhards Carl A Joslin

### CONTACT:

WWW: http://www.sac-home.org/ E-Mail: info@sac-home.org BUGS:

Bugs?? We????

SAC is a research project!

SAC tools are platforms for scientific research rather than "products" for end users. Although we try to do our very best, you may well run into a compiler bug. So, we are happy to receive your bug reports (Well, not really "happy", but ...).

# References

- [1] Robert Bernecky, Stephan Herhut, Sven-Bodo Scholz, Kai Trojahner, Clemens Grelck, and Alex Shafarenko. Index vector elimination — making index vectors affordable. In Zoltán Horváth, Viktória Zsók, and Andrew Butterfield, editors, *Implementation and Application of Functional Languages*, volume 4449/2007 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 19–36, Berlin / Heidelberg, 2007. Springer.
- [2] C. Grelck, K. Hinkfuß, and S.-B. Scholz. With-Loop Fusion for Data Locality and Parallelism. In Frank Huch A. Butterfield, Clemens Grelck, editor, *Implementation and Application of Functional Languages*, 17th INternational Workshop, IFL'05, Selected Papers, volume 4015 of LNCS, pages 178–195. Springer, 2006. to appear.
- [3] C. Grelck, D. Kreye, and S.-B. Scholz. On Code Generation for Multi-Generator WITH-Loops in SAC. In P. Koopman and C. Clack, editors, Proc. of the 11th International Workshop on Implementation of Functional Languages (IFL'99), Lochem, The Netherlands, Selected Papers, volume 1868 of LNCS, pages 77–95. Springer, 2000.
- [4] Clemens Grelck, Stephan Herhut, Chris Jesshope, Carl Joslin, Mike Lankamp, Sven-Bodo Scholz, and Alex Shafarenko. Compiling the Functional Data-Parallel Language sac for Microgrids of Self-Adaptive Virtual Processors. In 14th Workshop on Compilers for Parallel Computing (CPC'09), IBM Research Center, Zurich, Switzerland, 2009.
- [5] Stephan Herhut, Carl Joslin, and Sven-Bodo Scholz. Compiling the functional data-parallel language sac for the self-adaptive virtual processor architecture. Technical Report 482, School of Computer Science, University of Hertfordshire, 2008.
- [6] Chris R. Jesshope. mutc an intermediate language for programming chip multiprocessors. In Asia-Pacific Computer Systems Architecture Conference, pages 147–160, 2006.
- [7] Alexei Kudryavtsev, Daniel Rolls, Sven-Bodo Scholz, and Alex Shafarenko. Numerical simulations of unsteady shock wave interactions using SAC and Fortran-90. In 10th International Conference on Parallel Computing Technologies. accepted, 2009.
- [8] S.-B. Scholz. With-loop-folding in SAC-Condensing Consecutive Array Operations. In C. Clack, K.Hammond, and T. Davie, editors, *Implementation of Functional Languages, 9th International* Workshop, IFL'97, St. Andrews, Scotland, UK, September 1997, Selected Papers, volume 1467 of LNCS, pages 72–92. Springer, 1998.
- S.-B. Scholz. Single Assignment C efficient support for high-level array operations in a functional setting. *Journal of Functional Programming*, 13(6):1005–1059, 2003.
- [10] M. W. van Tol, C. R. Jesshope, M. Lankamp, and S. Polstra. An implementation of the sane virtual processor using posix threads. J. Syst. Archit., 55(3):162–169, 2009.